Assigned for all purposes to: Spring Street Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Kristin Escalante

1 DEBORAH S. MALLGRAVE, State Bar No. 198603 DMallgrave@GGTrialLaw.com CLAIRE-LISE Y. KUTLAY, State Bar No. 307080 CKutlay@GGTrialLaw.com GREENBERG GROSS LLP 601 S. Figueroa Street, 30th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 334-7000 5 Facsimile: (213) 334-7001 6 MICHAEL RECK, State Bar No. 209895 MReck@AndersonAdvocates.com MICHAEL G. FINNEGAN, State Bar No. 241091 Mike@AndersonAdvocates.com JENNIFER E. STEIN, State Bar No. 300775 Jennifer@AndersonAdvocates.com **JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES** 11812 San Vicente Boulevard, #503 Los Angeles, California, 90049 Telephone: (310) 357-2425 Facsimile: (651) 297-6543 11 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff Alexandra Zarini 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT 15 16 20STCV34041 17 ALEXANDRA ZARINI, Case No. 18 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES for:** 19 1) Negligence v. 2) Sexual Battery (Civ. Code, § 1798.5) 3) Intentional Infliction of Emotional 20 PATRICIA GUCCI, BRUNA PALOMBO, JOSEPH RUFFALO, and DOES 1-10, **Distress** 21 4) Gender Violence (Civ. Code, § 52.4) Defendants. 22 Filed Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 340.1, as Amended by Assembly 23 Bill 218 24 [Jury Trial Demanded] 25 26 27 28

Plaintiff Alexandra Zarini ("Plaintiff") brings this action against Defendants Patricia Gucci ("Gucci"), Bruna Palombo ("Palombo"), Joseph Ruffalo ("Ruffalo"), and Does 1 through 10 (together, "Defendants"), and based on information and belief alleges as follows:

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. Plaintiff is the victim of sexual battery, assault, molestation, abuse, and harassment at the hands of Defendants, beginning when she was approximately 6 years old. Defendant Ruffalo used his position as a trusted adult in Plaintiff's life, later becoming her stepfather, to gain access to and sexually assault Plaintiff.
- 2. Defendants Gucci and Palombo, as Plaintiff's mother and grandmother, respectively, not only failed to protect Plaintiff from Ruffalo's assaults, but fostered the environment and impunity Defendant Ruffalo needed to sexually assault Plaintiff. Defendant Gucci compounded Plaintiff's suffering by inappropriately sexualizing Plaintiff for Defendant Ruffalo's benefit, and physically, mentally, and emotionally abusing Plaintiff. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered humiliation, shame, and horror and will continue to suffer for the rest of her life.
- 3. Throughout Plaintiff's childhood and adolescence, Defendants Gucci and Palombo protected Ruffalo and themselves, and tried to avoid, at all costs, what they perceived would be a scandal that could tarnish the Gucci name and potentially cost them millions. In trying to avoid a hit to the Gucci legacy, Defendants Gucci and Palombo, failed to protect that which should have been more precious than a name or money, and instead, actively sought to cover up Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assaults and allow them to continue unabated.
- 4. Even as Plaintiff entered into adulthood and attempted to find her own sense of peace and justice, Defendants Gucci and Palombo continued to coerce Plaintiff into keeping Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assaults a secret. To keep Plaintiff under their control, Defendants Gucci and Palombo repeatedly threatened to financially cut-off Plaintiff and disinherit her if she went against their wishes. Now, as a mother herself and with her own family to protect, Plaintiff seeks to do that which should have been done long ago—protect children. In so doing, Plaintiff

- 5. Plaintiff is an adult female currently residing in Germany. Plaintiff was born in 1985 in New York, and is a U.S. citizen. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff was residing in Los Angeles County, California, and was a minor throughout the period of child sexual assault alleged herein. Plaintiff brings this Complaint pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 340.1, as amended by Assembly Bill 218, for the sexual assault she suffered at the hands of Defendants. Thus, Plaintiff's claims for damages suffered as a result of childhood sexual assault are timely filed, as this Complaint is filed within three years of January 1, 2020.
- 6. Defendant Patricia Gucci is an adult female, who Plaintiff is informed and believes currently resides in Geneva, Switzerland. At all relevant times during the period of child sexual assault alleged herein, Defendant Gucci resided in Los Angeles County, within the State of California, unless otherwise identified. Defendant Gucci is Plaintiff's mother.
- 7. Defendant Bruna Palombo is an adult female, who Plaintiff is informed and believes currently resides in Rome, Italy. Defendant Palombo is Plaintiff's grandmother.
- 8. Defendant Joseph Ruffalo is an adult male, who Plaintiff is informed and believes currently resides in Los Angeles County, California. At all relevant times during the period of child sexual assault alleged herein, Defendant Ruffalo resided in Los Angeles County, within the State of California. During the period of child sexual assault alleged herein, Defendant Ruffalo became Plaintiff's stepfather.
- 9. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise of Defendants named herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants Does 1 through 10 by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Does 1 through 10 are legally responsible in some manner for the events, happenings, and/or tortious and unlawful conduct that caused the injuries and damages alleged in this Complaint. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to show the true names

and capacities of the Defendants designated herein as Does 1 through 10 when they have been ascertained.

- 10. Each of the Defendants aided and abetted each other Defendant. Each Defendant knowingly gave substantial assistance to each other Defendant who performed the wrongful conduct alleged herein. Accordingly, each Defendant is jointly and severally liable for the damages proximately caused by each other Defendant's wrongful conduct.
- 11. Whenever reference is made to "Defendants" in this Complaint, such allegation shall be deemed to mean the acts of Defendants acting individually, jointly, and/or severally.
- 12. Except as hereinafter specifically described, Defendants, and each of them, are and were the agents of the other Defendants, and in acting as described herein were acting within the scope of their authority as agents thereof, and with the permission and consent of the other Defendants.

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 13. Defendant Palombo and Defendant Gucci's father, Aldo Gucci, were never married, but Defendant Palombo was Aldo Gucci's long-time paramour. When Defendant Palombo became pregnant, to avoid a public scandal, Aldo Gucci sent her from Italy to live in England to have their baby, Defendant Gucci. Eventually, as Defendant Gucci grew up, and other disputes and scandals plagued the family, Defendant Gucci came out of the shadows to join her father and work in the family business until it was sold. As Defendant Gucci herself has said, she was "well accustomed to the truth being disguised."
- 14. Plaintiff herself was born into the Gucci family in 1985. Her parents met in 1983 when Defendant Gucci was approximately 20 years old, and married roughly a year and a half later, after Defendant Gucci discovered she was pregnant.
- 15. After Plaintiff's birth, Plaintiff and her parents moved to England and, when Plaintiff was four and a half years old, had a second child, Plaintiff's sister. Shortly thereafter, Defendant Gucci's marriage to Plaintiff's father ended, and when Plaintiff was approximately six-years old, Defendant Gucci moved with her two minor children to Los Angeles, California, to live with her then-boyfriend, Defendant Ruffalo.

21 22 23

24

25

20

26 27

28

16. As Plaintiff's mother, Defendant Gucci stood in a unique position of trust, authority, respect, and power over Plaintiff. Defendant Gucci's unique position with Plaintiff, as her mother, gives rise to a special relationship between Defendant Gucci and Plaintiff, and bestows upon Defendant Gucci the duty of care and duty to protect Plaintiff, including serving her child's emotional and physical needs and protecting her child from assault from another parental figure or household member. In dereliction of such duties, throughout the course of Plaintiff's youth and young adult life, Defendant Gucci displayed a callous disregard for the emotional and physical well-being of her daughter. Defendants Ruffalo and Palombo also owed Plaintiff a duty of care as her de facto caregivers, and they both breached this duty of care by flagrantly disregarding Plaintiff's emotional and physical safety. Defendants failed to protect Plaintiff, a minor child, but rather created and fostered an unstable and distressing home environment stemming from Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assaults of Plaintiff that was emotionally traumatic for Plaintiff during the formative years of her youth.

17. Additionally, at all times that Plaintiff was a minor child under Defendant Gucci's care, Defendant Gucci did not protect Plaintiff, but rather created a volatile and traumatic home environment stemming from her verbal and physical abuse of Plaintiff, and enabled and encouraged Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assault of Plaintiff. Defendants Gucci and Palombo also took active steps to cover up Defendant Ruffalo's pervasive assaults of Plaintiff.

I. **Defendant Ruffalo Sexually Assaults Plaintiff.**

18. Plaintiff's first memory of Defendant Ruffalo sexually assaulting her is when she was six years old. On a night, after bedtime, when Plaintiff was scared and sought out Defendant Gucci, Defendant Gucci encouraged Plaintiff to join her in bed with Defendant Ruffalo, who, as Defendant Gucci knew, was naked. Plaintiff joined them in bed and fell back asleep. Plaintiff then awoke in the middle of the night with her hand wrapped around Defendant Ruffalo's penis, and felt his bare skin. Plaintiff was scared and confused. She quickly removed her hand and tried to go back to sleep.

5

17

18

13

22

23

24

25

26

11 sexually assault her. 12 21.

19. Approximately two years after Plaintiff's first memory of Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assaults, Defendant Gucci and Defendant Ruffalo married (when Defendant Gucci discovered that she was pregnant again).

- 20. As Plaintiff's step-father, Defendant Ruffalo continued and escalated his sexual assaults, and began seeking Plaintiff out in her own bedroom. Late at night, Defendant Ruffalo, who was almost always inebriated, would stumble down the hallway to Plaintiff's bedroom wearing a loosely-tied bathrobe with nothing underneath. He would carry a glass of whiskey or scotch on ice while he made his way towards Plaintiff's room, shaking it loudly as he walked down the hall. Plaintiff became haunted by the sound of Defendant Ruffalo's ice clinking in his glass as he walked toward her room, naked underneath his robe, a warning that he would soon
- Defendant Ruffalo would remove his bathrobe, so he was completely naked, and climb into bed with Plaintiff. Plaintiff would lie stiffly in her bed as Defendant Ruffalo reached underneath Plaintiff's clothes to touch Plaintiff's nipples. Because Defendant Ruffalo was Plaintiff's stepfather and in a position of authority over her, Plaintiff felt powerless to stop his sexual assaults.
- 22. Eventually, Defendant Ruffalo's assault escalated to him rubbing his penis along Plaintiff's body, including her buttocks, massaging Plaintiff's vulva with his fingers, and attempting to digitally penetrate Plaintiff's vagina. Plaintiff asked Defendant Ruffalo to stop his horrific assaults, but he continued to assault Plaintiff repeatedly and on a somewhat regular basis throughout her childhood and as she became a young adult.

II. Defendant Gucci's Own Conduct Facilitates Defendant Ruffalo's Sexual Assaults.

23. Defendant Gucci herself inappropriately sexualized Plaintiff for Defendant Ruffalo's benefit. When Plaintiff was approximately six or seven years old, Defendant Gucci forced her to pose naked for a large portrait Defendant Gucci kept in the bedroom she shared with Defendant Ruffalo. When Plaintiff was approximately nine years old, Defendant Gucci allowed Defendant Ruffalo to watch and videotape Plaintiff when she was naked in the bathtub.

- 24. Defendant Gucci also forced Plaintiff to make her and Defendant Ruffalo breakfast in bed, despite knowing that when Plaintiff delivered the food, Defendant Ruffalo would pull the sheets back and flash his genitalia to Plaintiff. Defendant Gucci not only failed to reprimand Defendant Ruffalo for such conduct, but unabashedly continued the breakfast in bed tradition.
- 25. As Plaintiff began puberty, on more than one occasion, Defendant Gucci lifted up Plaintiff's shirt to expose her bare, developing chest to Defendant Ruffalo and commented on her body.
- 26. Throughout Plaintiff's youth, Defendant Gucci also physically abused Plaintiff. On multiple occasions, some while Plaintiff was still young in age, Defendant Gucci would engage in screaming arguments with Plaintiff. Often, this verbal abuse would escalate, and Defendant Gucci would pull Plaintiff by her hair and throw her to the ground. Numerous times, Defendant Gucci would strike Plaintiff on her face and body, and would strangle her by placing her hands around Plaintiff's neck.
- 27. Not only did Plaintiff suffer horrific acts of physical abuse from her own mother, but these altercations set the stage for Defendant Ruffalo to "rescue" Plaintiff from Defendant Gucci. Defendant Ruffalo would intervene and attempt to stop Defendant Gucci's acts of physical violence, often by isolating Plaintiff. Once Plaintiff was isolated, Defendant Ruffalo sexually assaulted Plaintiff.
- 28. As one example, when Plaintiff shaved her legs without Defendant Gucci's permission, Plaintiff nicked herself and had to put a bandage on the cut. Later, Plaintiff was wearing shorts and her mother noticed the bandage and became instantly enraged when she found out Plaintiff had shaved her legs. Defendant Gucci screamed and ran after Plaintiff. Plaintiff ran to her room, but Defendant Gucci caught up with her daughter before Plaintiff was able to close and lock the door. Defendant Gucci charged into Plaintiff's room and began violently hitting Plaintiff and pulling her hair. When Defendant Gucci was finished beating and yelling at Plaintiff, she left the room. As was the routine after Defendant Gucci's physical assaults, Defendant Ruffalo went into Plaintiff's room and, after initially calming her down, sexually assaulted her in his usual fashion.

III. <u>Defendants Minimized and Often Ignored Plaintiff's Cries for Help, and Instead</u> Concealed Defendant Ruffalo's Sexually Assaultive Conduct.

- 29. As a result of the ongoing sexual assaults, Plaintiff developed an obsession with showering and bathing her body. After Defendant Ruffalo's assaults and at other times throughout the day, Plaintiff would repeatedly bathe herself in an attempt to try and cleanse herself of the shame and horror she felt. When she bathed herself, Plaintiff would spend an inordinate amount of time vigorously scrubbing her body in the shower, sometimes until the point where her skin became raw and reddened.
- 30. Plaintiff also developed a compulsive hair pulling disorder, and would often pull hairs from her head in order to alleviate her feelings of stress or anxiety. This hair pulling behavior led to the development of a visible bald spot on Plaintiff's scalp.
- 31. Rather than attempt to address the cause of her odd behaviors, Defendant Gucci either ignored or teased Plaintiff about her obsessive compulsive behaviors. This teasing further intensified Plaintiff's feelings of hopelessness.
- 32. Plaintiff's behaviors eventually became apparent to people outside of her family circle. At least one school teacher took note of Plaintiff's odd behavior. When Plaintiff was in approximately fourth grade, Plaintiff's school teacher noticed that Plaintiff's writing contained too much sadness for someone her age. Plaintiff's school teacher asked Plaintiff herself if there were issues at home and displayed a genuine concern for Plaintiff's mental and emotional health, and held a parent-teacher conference with Defendants Gucci and Ruffalo.
- 33. Shortly thereafter, Defendant Gucci transferred Plaintiff to a different school and away from the teacher who tried to intervene. Indeed, Plaintiff was sent away from her home altogether, and sent to live with Defendant Ruffalo's mother in the Palm Desert area and attend school there.
- 34. While Plaintiff was in middle school, Defendant Gucci then moved with her two daughters and the third daughter she had had with Defendant Ruffalo to England, while Defendant Ruffalo continued to reside in California. While Plaintiff's contact with Defendant Ruffalo was less frequent during this period, Defendant Ruffalo maintained contact with the family and often

6

8

9

10 11

13 14

15 16

18

19

17

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

flew to England to be with the family. While in England, Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assaults continued.

- 35. Plaintiff experienced mental health issues from years of relentless assaults she suffered at the hands of her mother and stepfather. When Plaintiff was in high school, feeling she was left with no alternative to escape the relentless sexual assaults, Plaintiff attempted suicide by swallowing all the painkillers she could find. Hours later, Defendant Ruffalo found Plaintiff in her room, still alive, and Plaintiff was able to tell Defendant Ruffalo that she had swallowed some pills, though she could not remember how many. Defendant Ruffalo called a therapist who suggested Plaintiff have her stomach pumped. Defendant Ruffalo, however, did not take Plaintiff to the doctor or otherwise seek out medical care. Defendant Gucci did not intervene in Defendant Ruffalo's care of Plaintiff or even check on Plaintiff during her recovery at home. Nor did Defendant Gucci make any effort to discuss the suicide attempt with her daughter or deal with the cause of Plaintiff's pain and suffering.
- 36. Defendant Gucci's years of ongoing mental and physical abuse of Plaintiff, coupled with her manipulative behavior, rendered Plaintiff more vulnerable to Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assaults. Playing on the emotional, psychological, and physical vulnerabilities Plaintiff possessed as a minor, Defendant Gucci and Defendant Ruffalo's actions combined to ensure that Plaintiff would keep quiet about the family's secrets.

IV. Defendant Palombo Admits Her Knowledge of Defendant Ruffalo's Sexual Assaults.

- 37. Plaintiff's grandmother, Defendant Palombo was a steadfast part of Plaintiff's life and frequently around the family. While Defendant Gucci, Defendant Ruffalo, and Plaintiff resided in Los Angeles, Defendant Palombo maintained frequent contact and communications with Defendant Gucci and Plaintiff, and made regular trips to see the family in California. Plaintiff often looked up to her grandmother as a second motherly figure in her life, and trusted her grandmother's guidance.
- 38. When Plaintiff was approximately sixteen years old, after many years of observing Defendant Gucci and Defendant Ruffalo's abusive interactions with Plaintiff on a semi-regular basis, Defendant Palombo approached Plaintiff and asked to speak with her. At the time of this

was within earshot.

39.

assaulting her.

40.

4

5

7

8

1112

14

15

13

16

17

18 19

2021

2324

22

2627

25

uncomfortable. Rather than act in an effort to protect Plaintiff, Defendant Palombo instructed Plaintiff to keep Defendant Ruffalo's assaults a secret and not tell anyone about the assaults.

sexual assaults and chose to instruct Plaintiff to keep quiet and cover them up.

41. Defendant Palombo did not take any action, either before or after this conversation, to protect Plaintiff or stop the assaults. With no one protecting Plaintiff or attempting to stop Defendant Ruffalo's assaults, Defendant Ruffalo continued to sexually assault Plaintiff.

Plaintiff was overwhelmingly sad and could not believe that her grandmother knew about the

conversation, Plaintiff's sister and Defendant Gucci were nearby, although only Plaintiff's sister

sufficiently confident that Defendant Ruffalo was sexually assaulting Plaintiff to ask her about it.

something wrong. Plaintiff confirmed to Defendant Palombo that Defendant Ruffalo was sexually

At this confirmation, Defendant Palombo stiffened and became obviously

She asked Plaintiff directly if Defendant Ruffalo had touched her inappropriately or had done

After her years of silent observation, Defendant Palombo apparently felt

V. <u>Defendant Gucci Forces Plaintiff to Endure Additional Trauma and Live with</u> Defendant Ruffalo.

- 42. When Plaintiff was approximately twenty years old, Defendant Gucci forced Plaintiff to move back to California and live with Defendant Ruffalo. Any time Plaintiff objected to living with Defendant Ruffalo, Defendant Gucci threatened to cut Plaintiff off financially. As Plaintiff was not in a position to support herself, Plaintiff reluctantly lived with Defendant Ruffalo.
- 43. Under the same roof with Defendant Ruffalo again, Plaintiff continued to be subjected to Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assaults, harassment, and fetishes. Further, as Defendant Ruffalo frequently used cocaine and encouraged Plaintiff to use drugs, Plaintiff used drugs to cope with the stress of living with Defendant Ruffalo and in an attempt to dull the ever-present pain of her childhood trauma.

2

12 13

19

18

21

22

20

23

24

25 26

27

44. When Plaintiff was approximately twenty-two years old, Defendant Ruffalo went to Plaintiff's workplace and caused a public scene as he pursued Plaintiff around the store as she tried to get away from him. The scene was so unusual and suspicious that Plaintiff's co-workers went so far as to contact Plaintiff's father, and urged him to assist with some form of intervention. Upon information and belief, upon hearing the account of Plaintiff's co-workers, Plaintiff's father contacted Defendant Gucci in England and conveyed what he had learned from Plaintiff's coworkers. Upon information and belief, when Plaintiff's father mentioned he wanted to report the incident to the police and get Plaintiff out of Defendant Ruffalo's house, Defendant Gucci instantly became irate and insisted that Plaintiff's father not go to the police, told him to stay out of it, and that she would handle it.

- 45. On or about the same day, Defendant Ruffalo had a physical altercation with Plaintiff that left her with a broken toe and on crutches. Knowing she needed to get out of the house, permanently, Plaintiff called Defendant Gucci and pleaded for a conversation about the matter. Defendant Gucci agreed, but forced Plaintiff to fly from California to England, while on crutches, to discuss the matter. In England, Plaintiff gathered the courage to speak openly with Defendant Gucci about Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assaults, and wanted to go back to California to report the sexual assaults to the police.
- 46. Using her typical threats and manipulative tactics, Defendant Gucci told Plaintiff she could not go to the police, that she did not have any evidence, and no one would believe her. Instead of concern for her daughter's trauma, Defendant Gucci was more concerned that the publicity from the story would cause her to "lose millions"—including money she put into Defendant Ruffalo's company—and cause a "huge mess" and a "scandal." Defendant Gucci instructed Plaintiff to just keep quiet.
- 47. Later that evening, Plaintiff overheard Defendant Gucci on the telephone with Defendant Ruffalo. The conversation was cryptic, but rather than anger, Plaintiff heard only Defendant Gucci talking in a calm and neutral tone.

- 48. When Plaintiff tried again to seek support from Defendant Palombo, and told Defendant Palombo she intended to report the assaults to the police, she was met with a similar response. Defendant Palombo told Plaintiff it was a bad idea and would be the "ultimate betrayal" of Defendant Gucci. Defendant Palombo reminded Plaintiff that if she crossed her mother, Defendant Gucci would cut Plaintiff out of the family forever, both monetarily and emotionally. Again, Plaintiff was threatened and coerced into keeping the family secret.
- 49. Although Defendant Gucci eventually divorced Defendant Ruffalo for her own reasons, Defendants Gucci and Palombo have continued to threaten and coerce Plaintiff into keeping silent and stop her from reporting Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assault to the police.
- 50. On information and belief, in 2009, Defendant Ruffalo acknowledged his sexual assaults, stating he had an illness.
- 51. The sexual acts perpetrated upon Plaintiff constitute child sexual assault as defined by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 340.1, as modified by Assembly Bill 218, and were a violation of the California Penal Code, including but not limited to Penal Code Sections 266j, 288, 311.4, and 647.6. In around May 2019, Plaintiff reported the sexual assaults to the police.
- 52. Defendants' conduct constitutes a cover up as statutorily defined by Code of Civil Procedure section 340.1(b).
- 53. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assaults of Plaintiff, and Defendants Gucci and Palombo's egregious display of both intentional and negligent acts in connection with Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assaults, Plaintiff has suffered economic injury, all to Plaintiff's general, special, and consequential damage in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than the minimum jurisdictional amount of this Court.
- 54. As Plaintiff's mother and grandmother, Defendants Gucci and Palombo should have taken steps to protect Plaintiff. Instead, Defendants Gucci and Palombo acted in callous disregard for Plaintiff's well-being, and, in disgraceful acts of self-interest, told Plaintiff to simply suffer in silence and acted to cover-up Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assault of Plaintiff. As Defendant Gucci's memoir aptly states, "the worst part of betrayal is when it comes from those you thought you were closest to."

55. Plaintiff will no longer remain silent and now brings this action to shed light on the years of traumatic sexual assaults she suffered, which were enabled and covered up by Defendants, and potentially stop such assaults from happening to other children.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE

(Against All Defendants)

- 56. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. At all times relevant to this complaint, Plaintiff was in the care, custody, and control of Defendants. Due to her youth and the parent-child or grandparent-child relationship between Plaintiff and Defendants, Plaintiff was in complete and continued emotional and financial dependence on Defendants and reasonably relied on Defendants to take care of her and act in her best interests
- 58. Defendants, as Plaintiff's caretakers while she was a minor, and in whom was placed Plaintiff's confidence and trust, owed a duty to Plaintiff to exercise reasonable care, supervision, and protection while caring for Plaintiff and acting in Plaintiff's best interests.
- 59. Defendants owed Plaintiff, as a minor in their care, a special duty of care, in addition to a duty of ordinary care, and owed Plaintiff the higher duty of care that adults dealing with children owe to protect them from harm.
- 60. Defendants Gucci and Palombo breached their duties of care to Plaintiff by failing to exercise reasonable care, supervision, and protection while caring for Plaintiff and while Plaintiff was in their custody and control as a minor child.
- 61. Defendants Gucci and Palombo's conduct, actions, and omissions served to create an environment in which Defendant Ruffalo was afforded years of continuous secluded access to Plaintiff, who was as young as six years of age at the time she was first sexually assaulted, molested, and abused by Defendant Ruffalo. Defendant Ruffalo's assaults continued for many years, and existed throughout all of Plaintiff's youth and young adult life.

27

24

25

- 62. Defendants Gucci and Palombo knew or should have known of Defendant Ruffalo's sexually abusive and exploitative propensities, and/or that he was an unfit to exercise reasonable care, supervision, and protection of Plaintiff. It was foreseeable that if Defendants Gucci and Palombo did not adequately exercise or provide the duty of care owed to Plaintiff, that Plaintiff would be vulnerable to Defendant Ruffalo's manipulation, grooming, and sexual assault.
- 63. Defendants Gucci and Palombo, through their actions and inactions, breached their duties of care to Plaintiff by failing to provide adequate supervision and ensuring that such supervision was sufficient to ensure the safety of Plaintiff; allowing Defendant Ruffalo to come into contact with Plaintiff as a child without appropriate supervision; ignoring or otherwise failing to properly act on their knowledge of the sexual assaults Plaintiff suffered; failing to inform or concealing from law enforcement officials that Defendant Ruffalo sexually assaulted Plaintiff; and failing to protect Plaintiff from criminal sexual assault at the hands of Defendant Ruffalo.
- 64. Defendant Gucci and Palombo's actions, in permitting and, in fact, compelling Plaintiff to remain in an environment where she was subjected to continual, cruel, and inordinate sexual assaults, amounted to a wanton or reckless disregard for Plaintiff's emotional and physical well-being. Defendant Ruffalo's actions were so outrageous as to put any reasonable person on guard that Plaintiff's bodily safety was in real and imminent peril. Defendants should, and could, have removed Plaintiff from Defendant Ruffalo's continued sexual assaults. Instead of doing so, Defendants relegated Plaintiff to a life of suffering in silence. Defendants refused to take action to protect Plaintiff from the assaults she was experiencing and actively obstructed her attempts to stop the sexual assaults.
- 65. Defendant Ruffalo breached his duty of care that he owed to Plaintiff as her stepfather and de facto caregiver by pervasively and repeatedly engaging in the wrongful acts of sexual assault, battery, and abuse of Plaintiff.
- 66. Defendant Ruffalo owed Plaintiff a duty of care to protect Plaintiff and keep her free and safe from bodily harm. Instead, in a direct breach of this duty, Defendant Ruffalo

violated Plaintiff's body by subjecting her to cruel, horrific, and criminal sexual acts, beginning when Plaintiff was just six-years old.

- 67. Defendant Ruffalo knew or should have known that as a result of his sexually abusive and exploitative propensities, he was unfit to exercise reasonable care, supervision, and protection of Plaintiff. It was foreseeable that if Defendant Ruffalo did not adequately exercise or provide the duty of care owed to Plaintiff, that Plaintiff would be vulnerable to Defendant Ruffalo's manipulation, grooming, and sexual assault.
- 68. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' multiple and continuous breaches, Plaintiff has suffered economic injury, all to Plaintiff's general, special, and consequential damage in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than the minimum jurisdictional amount of this Court.
- 69. As a result of the above-described conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer serious emotional distress, including great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, severe anxiety, depression, suicidal thoughts, feelings of self-blame, hypervigilance, a lost sense of trust, a sense of being tainted, and relationship and intimacy issues, and was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life.
- 70. In subjecting Plaintiff to the wrongful treatment alleged herein, Defendants acted willfully and maliciously with the intent to harm Plaintiff and in conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights so as to constitute malice and oppression under Civil Code section 3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to the recovery of punitive damages in a sum to be shown according to proof at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

SEXUAL BATTERY

(Against All Defendants)

73. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

- 74. While Plaintiff was a minor and under Defendants' care and control, Defendant Ruffalo intentionally, recklessly, and wantonly made sexual advances, solicitations, requests, and demands for sexual compliance of a hostile nature based on Plaintiff's gender that were unwelcome, pervasive, and severe. The sexual harassment and assault included but was not limited to Defendant massaging, manipulating, and fondling Plaintiff's body, including Plaintiff's genitals.
- 75. Defendant Ruffalo did the aforementioned acts with the intent to cause a harmful or offensive contact with an intimate part of Plaintiff's person and would offend a reasonable sense of personal dignity. Further, said acts did cause a harmful or offensive contact with an intimate part of Plaintiff's person that would offend a reasonable sense of personal dignity. Defendants Gucci and Palombo knew or had reason to know that Defendant Ruffalo was committing these acts against Plaintiff.
- 76. Because of Defendant Ruffalo's position of authority over Plaintiff, Plaintiff's mental and emotional state, and Plaintiff's young age, Plaintiff was unable to and did not give consent to such acts.
- 77. Defendants Gucci and Palombo ratified and authorized Defendant Ruffalo's sexual assault of Plaintiff by failing to properly act on their knowledge of the sexual assaults Plaintiff suffered and protect Plaintiff from continued criminal sexual assaults at the hands of Defendant Ruffalo; failing to inform or concealing from law enforcement officials that Defendant Ruffalo sexually assaulted Plaintiff; and actively shielding Defendant Ruffalo from responsibility for his sexual harassment and assault of Plaintiff.
- 78. As a result of the above-described conduct, Plaintiff has suffered economic injury, all to Plaintiff's general, special, and consequential damage in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than the minimum jurisdictional amount of this Court.
- 79. As a result of the above-described conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, severe anxiety, depression, suicidal thoughts, feelings of self-blame, hypervigilance, a lost sense of trust, a sense of being tainted, and relationship and intimacy issues,

and was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life.

80. In subjecting Plaintiff to the wrongful treatment alleged herein, Defendants acted willfully and maliciously with the intent to harm Plaintiff and in conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights so as to constitute malice and oppression under Civil Code section 3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to the recovery of punitive damages in a sum to be shown according to proof at trial

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

(Against All Defendants)

- 81. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 82. Defendants' conduct as alleged throughout this complaint was outrageous and exceeded all bounds of decency and is odious and utterly intolerable in a civilized society.
- 83. Defendants intended to cause Plaintiff emotional distress and/or acted with reckless disregard of the probability that Plaintiff would suffer emotional distress, knowing that Plaintiff was sexually assaulted for years, beginning when she was approximately six years old.
- 84. Defendants' conduct caused emotional distress in the minor Plaintiff and this emotional distress was and continues to be severe.
- 85. As a result of the above-described conduct, Plaintiff has suffered economic injury, all to Plaintiff's general, special, and consequential damage in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than the minimum jurisdictional amount of this Court.
- 86. As a result of the above-described conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, severe anxiety, depression, suicidal thoughts, feelings of self-blame, hypervigilance, a lost sense of trust, a sense of being tainted, and relationship and intimacy issues, and was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life.

87. In subjecting Plaintiff to the wrongful treatment alleged herein, Defendants acted willfully and maliciously with the intent to harm Plaintiff and in conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights so as to constitute malice and oppression under Civil Code section 3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to the recovery of punitive damages in a sum to be shown according to proof at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

GENDER VIOLENCE

(Cal. Civ. Code, § 52.4)

(Against Defendants)

- 88. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference all consistent paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 89. California Civil Code section 52.4 provides a plaintiff with a private cause of action for damages against any person who subjects another to "Gender Violence." Gender Violence constitutes gender discrimination through either: (1) at least one act: (a) that would constitute a criminal offense under state law that has as an element the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the person or property of another, and (b) that was committed at least in part based on the gender of the victim; or (2) a physical intrusion or physical invasion of a sexual nature under coercive conditions.
- 90. Defendants committed gender violence in violation of section 52.4 as follows:

 Defendant Ruffalo sexually battered, sexually assaulted, molested, and otherwise sexually violated Plaintiff. Defendants Gucci and Palombo aided and abetted Defendant Ruffalo's crimes and sexual assault of Plaintiff. Defendants Gucci and Palombo knowingly, intentionally, deliberately, willfully, and/or recklessly disregarded Defendant Ruffalo's sexual proclivity for young females. In so doing, they fostered and facilitated the environment and impunity Defendant Ruffalo needed to sexually violate Plaintiff.
- 91. Defendants conspired to sexually violate Plaintiff. They explicitly and/or implicitly agreed to perpetrate sexual violence and create the hostile environment necessary for Defendant Ruffalo to sexually violate Plaintiff for years.

- 92. As a result of the above-described conduct, Plaintiff has suffered economic injury, all to Plaintiff's general, special, and consequential damage in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than the minimum jurisdictional amount of this Court.
- 93. As a result of the above-described conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, severe anxiety, depression, suicidal thoughts, feelings of self-blame, hypervigilance, a lost sense of trust, a sense of being tainted, and relationship and intimacy issues, and was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life.
- 94. Defendants engaged in the conduct described herein with malice, oppression, and fraud. Defendants intended to cause injury to Plaintiff or otherwise engaged in the described despicable conduct with a willful and conscious disregard for the rights or safety of Plaintiff. Defendants engaged in despicable conduct that subjected Plaintiff to cruel and unjust hardship in disregard of her rights. Defendants intentionally misrepresented, deceived, and/or concealed the true nature of Defendant Ruffalo's sexual violence with the intention of depriving Plaintiff of her property and/or her legal right to be free from violence, and/or otherwise causing her injury.
- 95. Pursuant to section 52.4 of the California Civil Code, Plaintiff seeks actual damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and all other appropriate relief.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief against Defendants:

- 1. For past, present, and future general damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- 2. For past, present, and future special damages, including but not limited to past, present and future lost earnings, economic damages, and others in an amount to be determined at trial;
- 3. For treble damages as a result of Defendants' cover up, as authorized by Code of Civil Procedure 340.1(b)(1);
 - 4. For any appropriate statutory damages;

1	5.	For cost of suit;			
2	6.	For interest as allowed by law;			
3	7.	For any appropriate punitive or exemplary damages as to Defendants;			
4	8.	For attorney's fees pursuant to Civil Code section 52.4, or otherwise as allowable			
5	by law; and				
6	9.	For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.			
7	DATED: S	eptember 8, 2020	GRE	ENBERG GROSS LLP	
8					
9			By:		
10				Deborah S. Mallgrave Claire-Lise Y. Kutlay	
11			JEFF	F ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES	
12				Michael Reck	
13				Michael G. Finnegan Jennifer E. Stein	
14				Attorneys for Plaintiff Alexandra Zarini	
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	1				

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
2	Plaintiff Alexandra Zarini hereby demands a trial by jury in this matter.				
3					
4	DATED: September 8, 2020	GREENBERG GROSS LLP			
5					
6		By:			
7		Deborah S. Mallgrave Claire-Lise Y. Kutlay			
8					
9		JEFF ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES			
10		Michael Reck Michael G. Finnegan			
11		Jennifer E. Stein			
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff Alexandra Zarini			
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
2223					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		0.1			